	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/620,706	TASAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>abandoned</u>		
(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.	(3)		
(2) <u>Warren Cheek</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 February 2006	Time: <u>3:30pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Lebour Amber	-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative confirmed that no response was filed to the restriction requirement mailed 8/18/05 and the application is abandoned. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the application is abandoned..